

**United States District Court  
Northern District of Illinois**

*J. Noyell* *HHN*

In the Matter of

Norman Ebert

v.

Village of Kildcer, et al.

Case No. 08 C 4340

Designated Magistrate Judge  
Jeffrey Cole

**TRANSFER OF CASE TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
FOR A REASSIGNMENT**

I recommend to the Executive Committee that the above captioned case be reassigned by lot to another judge of this Court. The reasons for my recommendation are indicated on the reverse of this form.

*John F. Grady*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge John F. Grady

Dated: August 14, 2008

**ORDER OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above captioned case be reassigned by lot to the calendar of an individual judge of this Court in accordance with the Rules.

ENTER

**FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

*James F. Holderman*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief Judge James F. Holderman

Dated: 8/15/08

FILED-ED  
2008 AUG 18 AM 10:04  
CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

- 40.5(c) This case is to be reassigned by lot pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 40.5(c).
- IOP 13F1 I recuse myself from this case for the following reasons:
- Two or more recusals have been entered in this case. The initial recusal was entered by
- IOP 13F2 I recuse myself from this case because a relative works for a law firm, or the U.S. Attorney's Office, which represents one of the parties to this case.
- IOP 13F2 I recuse myself from this case because one of the parties is represented by a law firm I was associated with during the past five years.
- The reason for recusal having been provided for in IOP Rule 13F2; it is requested that in lieu of a replacement case this matter be made part of the equalization of cases provided for in that rule.
- IOP 13 This case having last been assigned to the calendar of Judge \_\_\_\_\_ who is no longer sitting; it is recommended that this case be reassigned to the calendar of an active judge of this Court as it appears to require further judicial action.
- 28:294(b) I transfer this case to the Executive Committee for reassignment to another judge pursuant to the provisions 28 USC § 294(b). [It is my understanding that the receiving judge will receive equalization credit for the case, but that no case will be returned to my calendar in exchange for this case.]